Arizona Supreme Court

Criminal Petition for Review - Special Action

CR-24-0192-PR

JOSHUA JOEL JACOBSEN v HON. BERESKY/STATE

Appellate Case In	formation	☐ Dept/Composition
• •	30-Jul-2024	
Case Closed:		

Side 1. JOSHUA JOEL JACOBSEN, Petitioner

(Litigant Group) JOSHUA JOEL JACOBSEN

Joshua Joel Jacobsen

Attorneys for: Petitioner

Robert J Campos, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 11817)

Side 2. HON. JUSTIN BERESKY, JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA, in and for the County of Maricopa, Respondent Judge

(Litigant Group) HON. JUSTIN BERESKY, JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA, in and for the County of Maricopa

Hon Justin Beresky, Maricopa County Superior Court

Side 3. STATE OF ARIZONA ex rel. KENT VOLKMER, Pinal County Attorney, and KRISTIN K. MAYES, Arizona Attorney General, Real Party in Interest

(Litigant Group) STATE OF ARIZONA ex rel. KENT VOLKMER, Pinal County Attorney, and KRISTIN K. MAYES, Arizona Attorney General

State of Arizona

Kent P Volkmer, Pinal County Attorney's Office

Kristin K Mayes, Arizona Attorney General's Office

PREDECESSOR CASE(S) Cause/Charge/Class

Attorneys for: Real Party in Interest

Lauren E Deakin, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 29788)

Marjorie S Becklund, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 11170)

Jamie Balson, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 28587)

CASE STATUS

Jul 30, 2024.....Awaiting Petition for Review

Jul 30, 2024..... Pending

Judgment/Sentence Judge, Role <Comments>

1 CA	1 CA-SA	24-0066						
₩ MAR	CR2006-	-156684-001		Justin Beresky, Authoring Judge of Order Comments: (none)				
6 PROCEEDING ENTRIES								
1.	30-Jul-2024	FILED: Motion for Extension of Time to File Petition for Review; Certificate of Service (Petitioner Jacobsen)						
2.	30-Jul-2024	FILED: Certificate of Compliance; Certificate of Service (Petitioner Jacobsen)						
3.	1-Aug-2024	Petitioner Jacobsen filed a "Motion for Extension of Time to File Petition for Review" on July 30, 2024. Pursuant to Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure, 31.6(e) and Arizona Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure, Rule 6(b), a motion for a procedural order must include the words, "Motion for Procedural Order." Therefore, IT IS ORDERED the motion is denied without prejudice to Petitioner's ability to file a motion in compliance with Arizona Rules of Crim. Proc. Rule 31.6(e) and ARCAP 6(b). This matter is subject to dismissal if a compliant motion or petition for review is not filed by August 8, 2024. (Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk)						
4.	5-Aug-2024	FILED: Motion for Procedural Order Request for Extension of Time to File Petition for Review; Certificate of Service (Petitioner Jacobsen)						
5.	5-Aug-2024	FILED: Certificate of Compliance; Certificate of Service (Petitioner Jacobsen)						
6.	6-Aug-2024	A "Motion for Procedural Order Request for Extension of Time to File Petition for Review" (Petitioner Jacobsen) having been filed on August 5, 2024,						

Dispo

review is not filed by September 9, 2024. (Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk)

IT IS ORDERED granting an extension of time to file the petition for review on or before September 9, 2024. No further extensions of time shall be granted absent extraordinary circumstances. This matter is subject to dismissal if the petition for